

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the Application is requested.

**Applicant's Response**

Applicant fails to recognize an issue of inherency. Reference may be made to Figures 1, 4, 5 and 7 of the application. There are no such embodiments shown in the Olsen reference either explicitly or inherently. No such feature of the claimed invention is disclosed in the Olsen reference as is shown in Figures 1, 4, 5 and 7. In fact, in Olsen's Figures 4 and 5, display 60 is separate from the keypads 66 or keys 64. Thus, the cover for the display 60 of Olsen does not at least partially support keypads 66 or keys 64.


According to the MPEP, section 2112, Inherency may be used to reject a claim "where Applicant claims a composition in terms of a function, property or characteristic". The claimed structure of Applicant's independent claim 1 is not "a composition claimed in terms of a function, property or characteristic" and thus is not subject to an inherency rejection.

The rejection of the claims is not a proper inherency rejection and the evidence submitted previously by Applicant must be considered and claims allowed.

**Conclusion**

Applicant respectfully requests that this amendment be entered and the claims be allowed so that a patent may issue.

**Respectfully submitted,**



**Richard K. Robinson (PTO Reg. No. 28,109)  
Harry C. Post, III (PTO Reg. No. 26,019)  
Attorneys for Applicant**

**Date: June 27, 2003**

**Robinson & Post, L.L.P.  
North Dallas Bank Tower, Suite 575  
12900 Preston Road, LB-41  
Dallas, Texas 75230  
Tel: 972-866-7786  
Fax: 972-866-7787**